Lie groupoids and generalized contact manifolds

Fulya Şahin

Inonu University, Department of Mathematics, 44280, Malatya-Turkey

fulya.sahin@inonu.edu.tr

Abstract

We investigate relationships between Lie groupoids and generalized almost contact manifolds. We first relate the notions of integrable Jacobi pairs and contact groupoids on a generalized contact manifolds, then we show that there is a one to one correspondence between linear operators and multiplicative forms satisfying Hitchin pair. Finally we find equivalent conditions among the integrability conditions of generalized almost contact manifolds, the condition of compatibility of source and target maps of contact groupoids with contact form and generalized contact maps.

1 Introduction

A groupoid is a small category in which all morphisms are invertible. More precisely, a groupoid (G, G_0) consists of two sets G and G_0, called arrows and objects, respectively, with maps $s, t : G \to G_0$ called source and target. It is equipped with a composition $m : G_2 \to G$ defined on the subset $G_2 = \{(g, h) \in G \times G \mid s(g) = t(h)\}$; an inclusion map of objects $e : G_0 \to G$ and an inverse map $i : G \to G$. For a groupoid, the following properties are satisfied: $s(gh) = s(h)$, $t(gh) = t(g)$, $s(g^{-1}) = t(g)$, $t(g^{-1}) = s(g)$, $g(hf) = (gh)f$ whenever both sides are defined, $g^{-1}g = 1_{s(g)}$, $gg^{-1} = 1_{t(g)}$. Here we have used $gh, 1_x$ and g^{-1} instead of $m(g, h)$, $e(x)$ and $i(g)$. Generally, a groupoid (G, G_0) is denoted by the set of arrows G.

A Lie groupoid is a groupoid G whose set of arrows and set of objects are both manifolds whose structure maps s, t, e, i, m are all smooth maps and s, t are submersions. The latter condition ensures that s and t-fibres are manifolds. One can see from above definition that the space G_2 of composable arrows is a submanifold of $G \times G$. We note that the notion of Lie groupoids was introduced by Ehresmann [7]. Relations among Lie groupoids, Lie algebroids and other algebraic structures have been investigated by many authors [3, 6, 8, 13, 19].

On the other hand, Lie algebroids were first introduced by Pradines [15] as infinitesimal objects associated with the Lie groupoids. More precisely, a Lie algebroid structure on a real vector bundle A on a manifold M is defined by a vector bundle map $\rho_A : A \to TM$, the anchor of A, and an $\mathbb{R}$-Lie algebra bracket on $\Gamma(A)$, $[,]_A$ satisfying the Leibnitz rule

$$[\alpha, f\beta]_A = f[\alpha, \beta]_A + L_{\rho_A(\alpha)}(f)\beta$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A), f \in C^\infty(M)$, where $L_{\rho_A(\alpha)}$ is the Lie derivative with respect to the vector field $\rho_A(\alpha)$, where $\Gamma(A)$ denotes the set of sections in A.

In [10], Hitchin introduced the notion of generalized complex manifolds by unifying and extending the usual notions of complex and symplectic manifolds. Later such manifolds have been studied widely by Gualtieri. He also introduced the notion of generalized Kähler manifold [9]. On the other hand, the concept of generalized almost contact structure on odd dimensional manifolds have been studied in [11], [14], [18]

Recently, Crainic [2] showed that there is a close relationship between the equations of a generalized complex manifold and a Lie groupoid. More precisely, he obtained that the complicated equations of such manifolds turn into simple structures for Lie groupoids.

In this study, we investigate relationships between the complicated equations of generalized contact structures and Lie groupoids. We showed that the equations of such manifolds are useful to obtain equivalent results on a contact groupoid.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we give basic facts of Jacobi geometry, Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids. We first recall notions of contact manifold and contact groupoid from [13]. A contact manifold is a smooth (odd dimensional) manifold M with 1-form $\eta \in \Omega^1(M)$ such that $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0$. η is called the contact form of M. Let G be a Lie groupoid on M and η a form on Lie groupoid G, then η is called r-multiplicative if

$$m^*\eta = pr_2^*(e^{-r})pr_1^*\eta + pr_2^*\eta,$$

where $pr_i : G \times G \to G, i = 1, 2$, are the canonical projections and $r : G \to \mathbb{R}, r(gh) = r(g) + r(h)$ is a function [5]. A contact groupoid over a manifold M is a Lie groupoid G over M together with a contact form η on G such that η is r-multiplicative. We recall that multiplicative of a 2-form ω is defined by

$$m^*\omega = pr_1^*\omega + pr_2^*\omega.$$

We now recall the notion of Jacobi manifolds. A Jacobi manifold is a smooth manifold M equipped with a bivector field π and a vector field E such that

$$[\pi, \pi] = -2E \wedge \pi \quad and \quad [E, \pi] = 0$$

where $[,]$ denotes the schouten bracket.

We now give a relation between Lie algebroid and Lie groupoid, more details can be found in [4]. Given a Lie groupoid G on M, the associated Lie algebroid $A = Lie(G)$ has fibres $A_x = Ker(ds)_x = T_x(G(-, x))$, for any $x \in M$. Any $\alpha \in \Gamma(A)$ extends to a unique right-invariant vector field on G, which will be denoted by same letter α. The usual Lie bracket on vector fields induces the bracket on $\Gamma(A)$, and the anchor is given by $\rho = dt : A \to TM$.

Given a Lie algebroid A, an integration of A is a Lie groupoid G together with an isomorphism $A \cong Lie(G)$. If such a G exists, then it is said that A is integrable. In contrast with the case of Lie algebras, not every Lie algebroid admits an integration. However if a Lie algebroid is integrable, then there exists a canonical source-simply connected integration G, and any other source-simply connected integration is smoothly isomorphic to G. *From now on we assume that all Lie groupoids are source-simply connected.*

We now recall the notion of IM form (infinitesimal multiplicative form) on a Lie algebroid [1] which will be useful when we deal with relations between Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids. An IM form on a Lie algebroid A is a bundle map

$$u : A \to T^*M$$

satisfying the following properties

(i) $\langle u(\alpha), \rho(\beta)\rangle = -\langle u(\beta), \rho(\alpha)\rangle$

(ii) $u([\alpha, \beta]) = \mathcal{L}_\alpha(u(\beta)) - \mathcal{L}_\beta(u(\alpha)) + d\langle u(\alpha), \rho(\beta)\rangle$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A)$, where $\rho = \rho_A$ and $\langle , \rangle$ denotes the usual pairing between a vector space and its dual.

If A is a Lie algebroid of a Lie groupoid G, then a closed multiplicative 2-form ω on G induces an IM form u_ω of A by

$$\langle u_\omega(\alpha), X\rangle = \omega(\alpha, X).$$

For the relationship between IM form and closed 2-form we have the following.

Theorem 1 *[1]If A is an integrable Lie algebroid and if G is its integration, then $\omega \mapsto u_\omega$ is an one to one correspondence between closed multiplicative 2-forms on G and IM forms of A.*

Finally, in this section, we give brief information on the notion of generalized geometry, details can be found in [9]. A central idea in generalized geometry is that $TM \oplus T^*M$ should be thought of as a generalized tangent bundle to manifold M. If X and ξ denote a vector field and a dual vector field on M respectively, then we write (X, ξ) (or $X + \xi$) as a typical element of $TM \oplus T^*M$. The Courant bracket of two sections $(X, \xi), (Y, \eta)$ of $TM \oplus T^*M = \mathcal{TM}$ is defined by

$$[\![(X, \xi), (Y, \eta)]\!] = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X\eta - \mathcal{L}_Y\xi - \frac{1}{2}d(i_X\eta - i_Y\xi),$$

where d, $\mathcal{L}_X$ and i_X denote exterior derivative, Lie derivative and interior derivative with respect to X, respectively. The Courant bracket is antisymmetric but it does not satisfy the Jacobi identity. Here, we use the notations: $\beta(\pi^\sharp\alpha) = \pi(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\omega_\sharp(X)(Y) = \omega(X, Y)$ which are defined as $\pi^\sharp : T^*M \to TM$, $\omega_\sharp : TM \to T^*M$ for any 1-forms α and β, 2-form ω and bivector field π, and vector fields X and Y. Also we denote by $[,]_\pi$, the bracket on the space of 1-forms on M defined by

$$[\alpha, \beta]_\pi = \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp\alpha}\beta - \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp\beta}\alpha - d\pi(\alpha, \beta).$$

3 Lie groupoids and generalized contact structures

In this section we first give a characterization for generalized contact structures to be integrable, then we obtain certain relationships between generalized contact manifolds and contact groupoids. We recall a generalized almost contact pair and then a generalized almost contact structure.

Definition 1 *[18]A generalized almost contact pair $(\mathcal{I}, F + \eta)$ on a smooth odd-dimensional manifold M consists of a bundle endomorphism $\mathcal{I}$ of $TM \oplus T^*M$ and a section $F + \eta$ of $\mathcal{TM}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{I}^* = 0; \eta(F) = 1; \mathcal{I}(F) = 0; \mathcal{I}(\eta) = 0;$$

$$I^2 = -Id + F \odot \eta,$$

where $F \odot \eta(X + \alpha) := \eta(X)F + \alpha(F)\eta$, for any $X + \alpha \in \Gamma(\mathcal{TM})$. Since $\mathcal{I}$ has a matrix form:

$$\mathcal{I} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi & \pi^\sharp \\ \theta^\sharp & -\varphi^* \end{bmatrix} \qquad (3.1)$$

where φ is a $(1, 1)$-tensor, π is a bivector field, θ a 2-form and $\varphi^* : T^*M \to T^*M$ is dual of φ. One sees that a generalized almost contact pair is equivalent to a quintuplet $(F, \eta, \pi, \theta, \varphi)$, where F is a vector field, η a 1-form.

Definition 2 *[18] A generalized almost contact structure on M is an equivalent class of such pairs $(\mathcal{I}, F + \eta)$.*

We now present two examples of generalized almost contact manifolds.

Example 1 *[14] An $(2n + 1)$ dimensional smooth manifold M has an almost contact structure (φ, F, η) if it admits a tensor field φ of type $(1, 1)$, a vector field F and a $1-$ form η satisfying the following compatibility conditions*

$$\varphi(F) = 0 \ , \ \eta \circ \varphi = 0$$
$$\eta(F) = 1 \ , \ \varphi^2 = -id + \eta \otimes F.$$

Associated to any almost contact structure, we have an almost generalized contact structure by setting

$$\mathcal{I} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & -\varphi^* \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 2 *[14] On the three-dimensional Heisenberg group H_3,we choose a basis $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$ and let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ be a dual frame. For $t = rc + irs$, where $c = \cos v$ and $s = \sin v$ for some real number v, we define*

$$\varphi_t = \frac{2rc}{1 - r^2}(X_2 \otimes \alpha^2 + X_3 \otimes \alpha^3) \ , \ \sigma_t = \frac{r^2 - 2rs + 1}{1 - r^2}\alpha^2 \wedge \alpha^3$$
$$\pi_t = \frac{r^2 + 2rs + 1}{1 - r^2}X_2 \wedge X_3 \ , \ \eta = \alpha^1, F = X_1 - bX_2 + aX_3$$

for any real numbers a, b. We also define

$$\mathcal{I} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_t & \pi_t^\sharp \\ \sigma_{t_\flat} & -\varphi_t^* \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $\mathcal{J}_t = (F, \eta, \phi_t, \pi_t\sigma_t)$ is a family of generalized almost contact structures.

Given a generalized almost contact pair $(\mathcal{I}, F + \eta)$, we define

$$E^{(1,0)} = \{e - i\mathcal{I}(e) | e \in ker\eta \oplus kerF\}, E^{(0,1)} = \{e + i\mathcal{I}(e) | e \in ker\eta \oplus kerF\}.$$

The endomorphism $\mathcal{I}$ is linearly extended to the complexified bundle $\mathcal{TM} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. It has three eigenvalues, namely, $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = i = \sqrt{-1}$ and $\lambda = -i$. The corresponding eigenbundles are $L_F \oplus L_\eta$, $E^{(1,0)}$ and $E^{(0,1)}$, where L_F and L_η are the complex vector bundles of rank 1 generated with F and η, respectively. Define

$$L := L_F \oplus E^{(1,0)}, \quad \overline{L} := L_F \oplus E^{(0,1)}, \quad L^* := L_\eta \oplus E^{(0,1)},$$
$$\overline{L}^* := L_\eta \oplus E^{(1,0)}.$$

Definition 3 *[14] Consider a generalized almost contact pair and let L be its associated maximal isotropic subbundle. We say that the generalized almost contact pair is integrable if the space $\Gamma(L)$ of sections of L is closed under the Courant bracket, i.e. $[\![\Gamma(L), \Gamma(L)]\!] \subset \Gamma(L)$. In this case, the generalized almost contact pair is simply called a generalized contact pair. A generalized contact structure is an equivalence class of generalized contact pairs.*

In the sequel, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a generalized almost contact structure to be integrable in terms of the above tensor fields. We note that the following result was stated in [18].

Theorem 2 *A generalized almost contact pair corresponding to the quintuplet $(F, \eta, \pi, \theta, \varphi)$ is integrable if and only if the following relations are satisfied:*

(C1)

$$\textbf{a)}\frac{1}{2}[\pi, \pi] = F \wedge (\pi^\sharp \otimes \pi^\sharp)d\eta,$$
$$\textbf{b)}[F, \pi] = -F \wedge \pi^\sharp\mathcal{L}_F\eta$$

(C2)

$$\varphi\pi^\sharp = \pi^\sharp\varphi^*$$
$$\varphi^*[\alpha, \beta]_\pi = \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp\alpha}\varphi^*\beta - \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp\beta}\varphi^*\alpha - d\pi(\varphi^*\alpha, \beta); \qquad (3.2)$$

(C3)

$$\varphi^2 + \pi^\sharp\theta_\sharp = -Id + F \odot \eta \qquad (3.3)$$
$$N_\varphi(X, Y) + d\eta(\varphi X, \varphi Y)F = \pi^\sharp(i_{X\wedge Y}d\theta);$$

(C4)

$$\varphi^*\theta_\sharp = \theta_\sharp\varphi$$
$$d\theta_\varphi(X, Y, Z) = d\theta(\varphi X, Y, Z) + d\theta(X, \varphi Y, Z) + d\theta(X, Y, \varphi Z);$$

(C5)

$$\mathcal{L}_F\varphi = 0; \mathcal{L}_F\theta = 0,$$

where

$$[\alpha, \beta]_\pi = \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp\alpha}\beta - \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp\beta}\alpha - d\pi(\alpha, \beta) \quad and \quad \theta_\varphi(X, Y) = \theta(\varphi X, Y).$$

We note that if (3.1) is a generalized contact structure, then

$$\overline{\mathcal{I}} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi & -\pi^\sharp \\ -\theta_\sharp & -\varphi^* \end{bmatrix}$$

is also a generalized contact structure. $\overline{\mathcal{I}}$ is called the opposite of $\mathcal{I}$. In this paper we denote a generalized contact manifold endowed with $\overline{\mathcal{I}}$ by $\overline{M}$.

As an analogue of a Hitchin pair on a generalized complex manifold, a Hitchin pair on a generalized almost contact manifold M is a pair $(d\eta, \varphi)$ consisting of a contact form η and a $(1, 1)$-tensor φ with the property that $d\eta$ and φ commute (i.e. $d\eta(X, \varphi Y) = d\eta(\varphi X, Y)$). We note that, since a generalized almost contact structure is equivalent to a generalized almost complex structure on $M \times \mathbb{R}$, the bivector field π of the generalized almost contact structure is not non degenerate in general. But we emphasize that we are putting this condition for restricted case.

Lemma 1 *Let M be a generalized almost contact manifold. If π is a non-degenerate bivector field on $TM^* - Span\{\eta\}$, $d\eta$ is the inverse 2-form (defined by $(d\eta)_\sharp = (\pi^\sharp)^{-1}$) and π satisfies (3.3) then $\theta = -d\eta - \varphi^* d\eta + \eta \wedge (i_F d\eta)$ iff $d\eta(\varphi^2 X, Y) = \varphi^* d\eta(X, Y)$.*

From now on, when we mention a non-degenerate bivector field π, we mean it is non-degenerate on $TM^* - Span\{\eta\}$. We note that if $d\eta$ is the inverse 2-form of π, non-degenerate π on $TM^* - Span\{\eta\}$ implies that $d\eta$ is also non-degenerate on $TM - Span\{F\}$.

We say that 2-form θ is the twist of Hitchin pair $(d\eta, \varphi)$. Note that in this case φ is neither an almost contact structure nor torsion(N_φ) free.

Lemma 2 *Let (M, η, φ, F) be an almost contact manifold. $d\eta$ and φ commute if and only if $d\eta + \varphi^* d\eta = \eta \wedge (i_F d\eta)$.*

Next we see that (C1) is satisfied automatically when one chooses $d\eta$ as the 2-form which is the inverse of π defined by $(d\eta)_\sharp = (\pi^\sharp)^{-1}$.

Lemma 3 *Let π be a non-degenerate bivector on a generalized almost contact manifold M, and $d\eta$ the inverse 2-form (defined by $(d\eta)_\sharp = (\pi^\sharp)^{-1}$). Then π satisfies (C1).*

Definition 4 *[5]The Lie algebroid of the Jacobi manifold (M, π, F) is $T^*M \oplus \mathbb{R}$, with the anchor $\rho : T^*M \oplus \mathbb{R} \to TM$ given by*

$$\rho(\omega, \lambda) = (\pi, F)^\sharp(\omega, \lambda) = \pi^\sharp(\omega) + \lambda F,$$

and the bracket

$$\begin{aligned}[(\omega, 0), (\eta, 0)] &= ([\omega, \eta)]_\pi, 0) - i_F(\omega \wedge \eta), \pi(\omega, \eta)) \\ [(0, 1), (\omega, 0)] &= (\mathcal{L}_{(F)}\omega, 0).\end{aligned}$$

The associated groupoid

$$\Sigma(M) = G(T^*M \oplus \mathbb{R}),$$

is called contact groupoid of the Jacobi manifold M. We say that M is integrable as a Jacobi manifold if the associated algebroid $T^*M \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is integrable (or, equivalently, if $\Sigma(M)$ is smooth).

Thus, we have the following result which shows that there is close relationship between the condition (C1) and a contact groupoid.

Theorem 3 *Let M be a generalized almost contact manifold and η a contact form. There is a 1-1 correspondence between:*

(i) Integrable Jacobi pair (F, π) on M(i.e. (F, π) is satisfying (C1), integrable).

(ii) Contact groupoids (Σ, η) over M.

We now give the conditions for (C2) in terms of $d\eta$ and φ.

Lemma 4 *Let M be a generalized almost contact manifold and $d\eta$ a 2-form. Given a non-degenerate bivector π on $TM^* - \{\eta\}$ (i.e. $\pi^\sharp = ((d\eta)_\sharp)^{-1}$) and a map $\varphi : TM \to TM$, then π and φ satisfy (C2) if and only if $d\eta$ and φ commute.*

We now give a correspondence between generalized contact structures with non-degenerate π, and Hitchin pairs $(d\eta, \varphi)$.

Proposition 1 *There is a one to one correspondence between generalized contact structures given by (3.1) with π non-degenerate, and Hitchin pairs $(d\eta, \varphi)$ such that $d\eta(X, Y) = d\eta(\varphi X, \varphi Y)$. In this correspondence, π is the inverse of $d\eta$, and θ is the twist of the Hitchin pair $(d\eta, \varphi)$.*

We note that, similar to 2-forms, given a Lie groupoid G, a $(1,1)$-tensor $J : TG \to TG$ is called multiplicative [2] if for any $(g, h) \in G \times G$ and any $v_g \in T_g G$, $w_h \in T_h G$ such that (v_g, w_h) is tangent to $G \times G$ at (g, h), so is (Jv_g, Jw_h), and

$$(dm)_{g,h}(Jv_g, Jw_h) = J((dm)_{g,h}(v_g, w_h)).$$

Let (M, η) be a contact manifold. Then it is easy to see that there is a one to one correspondence between $(1,1)$-tensors φ commuting with $d\eta$ and 2-forms on M. On the other hand, it is easy to see that (C2) is equivalent to the fact that $\varphi^* \circ pr_1$ is an IM form on the Lie algebroid $T^*M \oplus \mathbb{R}$ associated Jacobi structure (F, π). Thus from the above discussion, Lemma 4 and Theorem 1, one can conclude with the following theorem.

Theorem 4 *Let M be a generalized almost contact manifold. Let (F, π) be an integrable Jacobi structure on M, and (Σ, η) a contact groupoid over M. Then there is a natural 1-1 correspondence between*

(i) $(1,1)$-tensors φ on M satisfying (C2),

(ii) multiplicative $(1,1)$-tensors I on Σ with the property that $(I, d\eta)$ is a Hitchin pair.

We recall the notion of generalized contact map between generalized contact manifolds. This notion is similar to the generalized holomorphic map given in [2].

Let $(M_i, \mathcal{I}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be two generalized contact manifolds, and let $\varphi_i, \pi_i, \theta_i$ be the components of $\mathcal{I}_i$ in the matrix representation (3.1). A map $f : M_1 \to M_2$ is called generalized contact iff f maps φ_1 into φ_2, F_1 into F_2, π_1 into π_2, $f^*\theta_2 = \theta_1$ and $(df) \circ \varphi_1 = \varphi_2 \circ (df)$.

We now state and prove the main result of this paper. This result gives equivalent assertions between the condition (C3), twist θ of $(d\eta, I)$ and contact maps for a contact groupoid over M.

Theorem 5 *Let M be a generalized almost contact manifold and (Σ, η, I) an induced contact groupoid over M with the induced multiplicative $(1,1)$-tensor. Assume that $((F, \pi), I)$ satisfy (C1), (C2) with integrable (F, π). Then for a θ 2-form on M, the following assertions are equivalent.*

(i) (C3) is satisfied,

(ii) $d\eta + I^* d\eta - \eta \wedge (i_F d\eta) = s^*\theta - t^*\theta$,

(iii) $(t, s) : \Sigma \to M \times \overline{M}$ is a generalized contact map; condition of generalized contact map on M is $(dt) \circ \varphi_1 = \varphi_2 \circ (dt)$, this condition on $\overline{M}$ is $(ds) \circ \varphi_1 = -\varphi_2 \circ (ds)$).

Remark 1 *Details and proofs of this poster can be found in [16].*

References

[1] Bursztyn, H., Crainic, M., Weinstein, A., Zhu, C.: Integration of twisted Dirac brackets, Duke Math. J., 123, (2004), 549-607.

[2] Crainic, M., Generalized complex structures and Lie brackets, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., New Series 42(4), (2011), 559-578.

[3] Crainic, M. and Fernandes, R.L., Integrability of Lie brackets, Annals of Mathematics, 157, (2003), 575-620.

[4] Crainic, M., Fernandes, R. L.: Lectures on integrability of Lie brackets. Lectures on Poisson geometry, 1-107, Geom. Topol. Monogr., 17, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, (2011).

[5] Crainic M. and Zhu C., Integrability of Jacobi structures, arXiv:math.DG/0403268.

[6] Degeratu, M., Projective limits of Lie groupoids, An. Univ. Oradea Fasc. Mat. 16 , (2009), 183-188.

[7] Ehresmann, C., Catègories topologiques et catègories differentiables, Coll. Geom. Diff. Globales, Bruxelles, (1959), 137-150.

[8] Farhangdoost, M. R. and Nasirzade, T., Geometrical categories of generalized Lie groups and Lie groupgroupoids,Iranian Journal of science and Technology (A: siences), (2013), 69-73.

[9] Gualtieri, M., Generalized complex geometry, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. Oxford, arXiv:math.DG/0401221, (2003).

[10] Hitchin,N., Generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds, Q. J. Math., 54, (2003), 281-308.

[11] Iglesias-Ponte, D. and Wade, A., Contact manifolds and generalized complex structures, J. Geom. Phys., 53, (2005), 249-258.

[12] Janyska, J. , Modugno, M., Generalized geometrical structures of odd dimensional manifolds, J. Math. Pures Appl., 91, (2009), 211-232.

[13] Marle, C. M., Calculus on Lie algebroids, Lie groupoids and Poisson manifolds, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) 457, (2008), 57 pp.

[14] Poon,Y. S., Wade, A., Generalized contact structures, J. London Math.Soc.,83(2), (2011), 333-352.

[15] Pradines, J., Théorie de Lie pour les groupoïdes différentiables, Calcul différentiel dans la catégorie des groupoïdes infinitésimaux, Comptes rendus Acad. Sci. Paris 264 A, (1967), 245-248.

[16] Şahin, F., Lie Groupoids and Generalized Contact Manifolds, Abstract and Applied Analysis Volume 2014 (2014), Article ID 270715, 8 pages.

[17] Wade, A., Dirac structures and paracomplex manifolds, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I, 338, (2004), 889-894.

[18] Wade, A., Local structure of generalized contact manifolds, Dif. Geom. and its appl.,30, (2012), 124-135.

[19] Zhong, D. S., Chen, ., Liu, Z. J., On the existence of global bisections of Lie groupoids, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 25, no. 6(2009).