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ABSTRACT

I shall explain how chaos (chaotic behaviour) can emerge in deterministic systems of classical dynamics. It is due to the sensitive dependence on initial conditions, meaning that two nearby initial states of a system develop in time such that their positions (states) separate very fast (exponentially) in time. After a finite time (Lyapunov time) the accuracy of orbit characterizing the state of the system is entirely lost, the system could be in any allowed state. The system can be also ergodic, meaning that one single orbit describing the evolution of the system visits any other neighbourhood of all other states of the system. In this sense, chaotic behaviour in time evolution does not exist in quantum mechanics. However, if we look at the structural and statistical properties of the quantum system, we do find clear analogies and relationships with the structures of the corresponding classical systems. This is manifested in the eigenstates and energy spectra of various quantum systems (mesoscopic solid state systems, molecules, atoms, nuclei, elementary particles) and other wave systems (electromagnetic, acoustic, elastic, seismic, water surface waves and gravitational waves), which are observed in nature and in the experiments.
The Solar System of 8 (or 9) planets (out of scale)

Mercury, Venus, Earth, Mars, Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus, Neptune, (Pluto)

On the long run, the ellipses can stretch or shrink, rotate and tilt

Henri Poincaré: gravitational 3-body system is chaotic
The divergence of nearby orbits in regular and chaotic systems:

**Linear in regular systems**

**Exponential in chaotic systems: Separation** $\propto \exp(\gamma t)$

Lyapunov exponent $= \gamma$, and Lyapunov time $= \frac{1}{\gamma}$

In the case of Pluto: Lyapunov time $\approx 20$ million years

Wisdom and Susskind (1988) and Jacques Laskar (since 1990)

On the long run for certain initial conditions the planets might collide with each other, or escape from the Solar System
Motivation by example

Two-dimensional classical billiards:

A point particle moving freely inside a two-dimensional domain with specular reflection on the boundary upon the collision:

Energy (and the speed) of the particle is conserved.

A particular example of the billiard boundary shape as a model system:

Complex map: $z \rightarrow w$, $|z| = 1$

$$w = z + \lambda z^2,$$
\[ \lambda = 0 \]

\[ \lambda = 0.5 \]

Diagram showing two different configurations labeled with \( \lambda = 0 \) and \( \lambda = 0.5 \).
\[
\lambda = 0
\]

\[
\lambda = 0.5
\]

\[
\lambda = 0.15
\]
Motivation by example

Two-dimensional quantum billiards

Helmholtz equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions

\[ \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + E\psi = 0 \]

with \( \psi = 0 \) on the boundary
$W = z + \lambda z^2$

Figure 1 (Robnik 1983)

$\lambda = 0.15$
Statistical properties of discrete energy spectra with the same density

Fig.1.8 - Segments of "spectra", each containing 50 levels. The "arrowheads" mark the occurrence of pairs of levels with spacings smaller than 1/4. See text for further explanation.

Bohigas and Giannoni 1984
PRELIMINARY CONCLUSION:

CLASSICAL CHAOS means exponential divergence and sensitive dependence on initial conditions and complex structure of the phase space.

QUANTUM CHAOS means phenomena in wave systems corresponding to the structures implied by the chaotic dynamics of rays in the short wavelength approximation.
Hamiltonian systems

\[ H = H(\vec{q}, \vec{p}) \]
\[ \ddot{q} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{p}} \]
\[ \ddot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{q}} \]

autonomous systems: \( E = H(\vec{q}, \vec{p}) = \text{const.} \)

\[ H = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} + V(\vec{q}) \]

Newton eqs.

\[ m \ddot{\vec{q}} = \vec{p} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial \vec{q}} \]

\[ \hat{H} = H(\hat{\vec{q}}, \hat{\vec{p}}), \quad \hat{\vec{q}} = \vec{q}, \quad \hat{\vec{p}} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{q}} \]

\[ \hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta + V(\vec{q}), \quad \hat{H} \psi = E \psi \]

\[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta \psi + (V(\vec{q}) - E) \psi = 0 \]

Schrödinger equation, plus boundary conditions

billiards: \( \Delta \psi + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} E \psi = 0 \)

\[ \psi|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \]
**Integrable Hamiltonian systems:**

\( N \) integrals (constants) of motion exist

\[ N = \text{number of degrees of freedom} \]

\[ A_i = A_i(\vec{q}, \vec{p}) = A_i(\vec{q}(s), \vec{p}(s)) = \text{const.} \]

\( i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \)

\( A_i = E = H(\vec{q}, \vec{p}) \)

\[ \{ A_i, A_j \} = \text{Poisson bracket} = 0, \forall i, j \]

\[ \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial \vec{q}} \cdot \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial \vec{p}} - \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial \vec{p}} \cdot \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial \vec{q}} = 0 \]

**Liouville-Arnold theorem:**

\( N \)-dim invariant tori

(for all initial conditions)

**Example (N = 2):**

The ergodic systems (fully chaotic):

No integrals of motion except

- The total energy \( E = H(\vec{q}, \vec{p}) \) - const.
Example of mixed type system: Hydrogen atom in strong magnetic field

\[ H = \frac{p^2}{2m_e} - \frac{e^2}{r} + \frac{eL_z}{2m_ec}|B| + \frac{e^2B^2}{8m_ec^2\rho^2} \]

\( B \) = magnetic field strength vector pointing in \( z \)-direction

\( r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} = \) spherical radius, \( \rho = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = \) axial radius

\( L_z = \) \( z \)-component of angular momentum = conserved quantity

**Characteristic field strength:** \( B_0 = \frac{m_e^2e^3c}{\hbar^2} = 2.35 \times 10^9 \) Gauss = \( 2.35 \times 10^5 \) Tesla

**Rough qualitative criterion for global chaos:** magnetic force \( \approx \) Coulomb force

Fig. III-9. Poincaré surfaces of section $\Sigma(v, p_v; u = 0)$ at different scaled energies (corresponding to increasing diamagnetic strength). The elliptic fixed point at the origin corresponds to the straight-line orbit $L_0$, the other two fixed points to the straight-line orbit $L_1$. 
Fig.1.8 - Segments of "spectra", each containing 50 levels. The "arrowheads" mark the occurrence of pairs of levels with spacings smaller than 1/4. See text for further explanation.

Bohigas and Giannoni 1984
Example: 2-dim billiard systems

- Bunimovich (stadium)
- Sinai
- $w = x + xe^t$
  (Robnik 1983)
- $w = B + B^2 + Ce^{it}x^2$
  $B = 0.2, C = 0.2$
- Africa
  (Berry & Robnik 1986)
  $\delta = \frac{\pi}{\beta}$

\[ \Delta \psi + E \psi = 0 \]
\[ \psi / \text{boundary} = 0 \]

$\mathcal{N}(E) = \frac{\alpha E}{4\pi} - \frac{\beta E}{4\pi} + \frac{\gamma}{c}$

Weyl formula

\[ N(E) = \mathcal{N}(E) + \tilde{N}(E) \]

Unfolding procedure:
\[ x = \mathcal{N}(E) = x(E) \]
\[ N(x) = x + \tilde{N}(x) \]

- level spacings distribution: $P(s)$
  \[ P(s) ds = \text{Prob. level spacing } s \in [s, s + ds] \]
  normalized: \[ \int_0^\infty P(x) dx = 1, \int_0^\infty x P(x) dx = 1 \]

cumulative: \[ W(s) = \int_0^s P(x) dx \]
spectral unfolding procedure: transform the energy spectrum to unit mean level spacing (or density)

After such spectral unfolding procedure we are describing the spectral statistical properties, that is statistical properties of the eigenvalues.

Two are most important:

**Level spacing distribution:** $P(S)$

$P(S)\,dS = \text{Probability that a nearest level spacing } S \text{ is within } (S, S + dS)$

$E(k, L) = \text{probability of having precisely } k \text{ levels on an interval of length } L$

Important special case is the gap probability $E(0, L) = E(L)$ of having no levels on an interval of length $L$, and is related to the level spacing distribution:

$$P(S) = \frac{d^2E(S)}{dS^2}$$
The Gaussian Random Matrix Theory

\[ P(\{H_{ij}\})d\{H_{ij}\} = \text{probability of the matrix elements} \ \{H_{ij}\} \ \text{inside the volume element} \ d\{H_{ij}\} \]

*We are looking for the statistical properties of the eigenvalues*

**A1** \( P(\{H_{ij}\}) = P(H) \) is invariant against the group transformations, which preserve the structure of the matrix ensemble:

- orthogonal transformations for the real symmetric matrices: GOE
- unitary transformations for the complex Hermitian matrices: GUE

It follows that \( P(H) \) must be a function of the invariants of \( H \)

**A2** The matrix elements are statistically independently distributed:

\[ P(H_{11}, \ldots, H_{NN}) = P(H_{11}) \ldots P(H_{NN}) \]

It follows from these two assumptions that the distribution \( P(H_{ij}) \) must be Gaussian:

*There is no free parameter: Universality*
2D GOE and GUE of random matrices:

Quite generally, for a Hermitian matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
x & y + iz \\
y - iz & -x
\end{pmatrix}
\]

with \(x, y, z\) real

the eigenvalue \(\lambda = \pm \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}\) and level spacing
\(S = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 2\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}\)

The level spacing distribution is

\[
P(S) = \int_{R^3} dx
dy
dz
g_x(x)g_y(y)g_z(z)\delta(S - 2\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2})
\]

which is equivalent to 2D GOE/GUE when

\[
g_x(u) = g_y(u) = g_z(u) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{\sigma^2}\right)
\]

and after normalization to \(<S> = 1\)

- **2D GUE** \(P(S) = \frac{32S^2}{\pi^2} \exp\left(-\frac{4S^2}{\pi}\right)\) Quadratic level repulsion

- **2D GOE** \(g_z(u) = \delta(u)\) and \(P(S) = \frac{\pi S}{2} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi S^2}{4}\right)\) Linear level repulsion

There is no free parameter: Universality
ENERGY (NEAREST NEIGHBOUR) LEVEL SPACING DISTRIBUTION $P(S)$

Poisson
$P(S) = e^{-S}$ (integrability)

$GOE \approx Wigner$ $P(S) = \frac{TS}{2} e^{-\frac{TS^2}{4}}$ (chaos & A.U.S.)

$GUE \approx Wigner$ $P(S) = \frac{32S^2}{\pi^2} e^{-\frac{4S^2}{\pi}}$ (chaos, no A.U.S.)
The Main Assertion of Stationary Quantum Chaos
(Casati, Valz-Gries, Guarneri 1980; Bohigas, Giannoni, Schmit 1984; Percival 1973)

(A1) If the system is classically integrable: **Poissonian spectral statistics**

(A2) If classically fully chaotic (ergodic): **Random Matrix Theory (RMT)** applies

- If there is an antiunitary symmetry, we have GOE statistics
- If there is no antiunitary symmetry, we have GUE statistics

(A3) If of the mixed type, in the deep semiclassical limit: we have no spectral correlations: the spectrum is a **statistically independent superposition of regular and chaotic level sequences**:

\[
E(k, L) = \sum_{k_1 + k_2 + \ldots + k_m = k} \prod_{j=1}^{j=m} E_j(k_j, \mu_j L) \quad (2)
\]

\(\mu_j\) = relative fraction of phase space volume = relative density of corresponding quantum levels. \(j = 1\) is the Poissonian, \(j \geq 2\) chaotic, and \(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \ldots + \mu_m = 1\)
According to our theory, for a two-component system, \( j = 1, 2 \), we have (Berry and Robnik 1984):

\[
E(0, S) = E_1(0, \mu_1 S) E_2(0, \mu_2 S)
\]

**Poisson (regular) component:** \( E_1(0, S) = e^{-S} \)

**Chaotic (irregular) component:** \( E_2(0, S) = \text{erfc} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\pi S}}{2} \right) \) (Wigner = 2D GOE)

\[
E(0, S) = E_1(0, \mu_1 S) E_2(0, \mu_2 S) = e^{-\mu_1 S} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\pi \mu_2 S}}{2} \right), \text{ where } \mu_1 + \mu_2 = 1.
\]

Then \( P(S) = \text{level spacing distribution} = \frac{d^2 E(0, S)}{dS^2} \) and we obtain:

\[
P_{BR}(S) = e^{-\mu_1 S} \left( \exp \left( -\frac{\pi \mu_2^2 S^2}{4} \right) (2 \mu_1 \mu_2 + \frac{\pi \mu_2^3 S}{2}) + \mu_1^2 \text{erfc} \left( \frac{\mu_2 \sqrt{\pi S}}{2} \right) \right)
\]

(Berry and Robnik 1984)

This is a one parameter family of distribution functions with normalized total probability \( < 1 > = 1 \) and mean level spacing \( < S > = 1 \), whilst the second moment can be expressed in the closed form and is a function of \( \mu_1 \).
2. Recent results on the energy level statistics in the transition region between integrability and chaos

\[ P_j(s) = \frac{e^{-|s|}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad P(s) = \sum_j P_j(s) \]

\[ P(s) = \frac{d^2Z}{ds^2}, \quad Z(s) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} z_j; \quad z_j(s) \]

where \( z_j(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{s} dt P_j(t)(t-s) \)

\[ P(s) = \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \left[ e^{-|s|} \prod_{j=2}^{m} \text{erfc} \left( \frac{|s|}{2} z_j s \right) \right] \]

\[ \text{erfc}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{x}^{\infty} dt e^{-t^2} \]
and (as a consequence of the statistical independence)

\[ P_m(S=0) = 1 - \sum_{j=2}^{m} \varepsilon_j^2 \]

Special case \( m=2 \):

\[ P_2(S, \varepsilon_1) = \varepsilon_1^2 \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_1^2 S \right) \]

\[ + (2 \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 + \frac{1}{2} \pi \varepsilon_2^3 S) \exp \left( -\left( S - \frac{1}{4} \pi \varepsilon_2^2 S^2 \right) \right) \]

\[ \text{and} \]

\[ P_2(S=0, \varepsilon_1) = 1 - \varepsilon_1^2 = \varepsilon_1 (2 - \varepsilon_1) \]

vanishes only if \( \varepsilon_1 = 0, \varepsilon_2 = 1 \)

Berry & Robnik 1984

Similarly, upon the assumption of statistical independence:

\[ \Delta(L) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \Delta_j (\varepsilon_j; L) \]

(Seligman and Verbaarschot 1985)
\[ W = z + \lambda z^2 \]

\( \lambda = 0.15 \)

(Frankel 1983)

We study the structure of eigenstates in "quantum phase space": The Wigner functions of eigenstates (they are real valued but not positive definite):

**Definition:**
\[ W_n(q, p) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^N} \int d^N X \exp \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot X \right) \psi_n(q - \frac{X}{2}) \psi^*_n(q + \frac{X}{2}) \]

\[ \int W_n(q, p) d^N p = |\psi_n(q)|^2 \]  
(P1)

\[ \int W_n(q, p) d^N q = |\phi_n(p)|^2 \]  
(P2)

\[ \int W_n(q, p) d^N q \, d^N p = 1 \]  
(P3)

\[ (2\pi\hbar)^N \int d^N q \, d^N p W_n(q, p) W_m(q, p) = \delta_{nm} \]  
(P4)

\[ |W_n(q, p)| \leq \frac{1}{(\pi\hbar)^N} \]  
(Baker 1958)

\[ \int W_n^2(q, p) d^N q \, d^N p = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^N} \]  
(P5)

\[ \hbar \to 0 : \ W_n(q, p) \to (2\pi\hbar)^N W_n^2(q, p) > 0 \]  
(P7)
In the semiclassical limit the Wigner functions condense on an element of phase space of volume size \((2\pi \bar{h})^N\) (elementary quantum Planck cell) and become positive definite there.

**Principle of Uniform Semiclassical Condensation (PUSC)**

Wigner fun. \(W_n(q, p)\) condenses uniformly on a classically invariant component:

(C1) invariant N-torus (integrable or KAM): \(W_n(q, p) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \delta(I(q, p) - I_n)\)

(C2) uniform on topologically transitive chaotic region:

\[
W_n(q, p) = \frac{\delta(E_n - H(q, p)) \chi_\omega(q, p)}{\int d^N q \int d^N p \delta(E_n - H(q, p)) \chi_\omega(q, p)}
\]

where \(\chi_\omega(q, p)\) is the characteristic function on the chaotic component indexed by \(\omega\)

(C3) ergodicity: microcanonical: \(W_n(q, p) = \frac{\delta(E_n - H(q, p))}{\int d^N q \int d^N p \delta(E_n - H(q, p))}\)

Important: Relative Liouville measure of the classical invariant component:

\[
\mu(\omega) = \frac{\int d^N q \int d^N p \delta(E_n - H(q, p)) \chi_\omega(q, p)}{\int d^N q \int d^N p \delta(E_n - H(q, p))}
\]
How good is this theory at sufficiently small effective $\bar{\hbar}$?
Figure 8: Same as in figure 1 but for 5168 consecutive levels of the quartic billiard (Prosen 1998) for $a = 0.04$ with sequential quantum number $N \approx 8\,000\,000$, and for theoretical distributions with $\rho_1 = 0.12$. 

quartic billiard $a = 0.04$ 

$r = 1 + a \cos(4\phi)$
4. Approach to describe the semiclassical transition regime

If we are not sufficiently deep in the semiclassical regime of sufficiently small effective Planck constant $\hbar_{\text{eff}}$, which e.g. in billiards means not at sufficiently high energies, we observe two new effects, which are the cause for the deviation from BR statistics:

- **Localization** of eigenstates, due to the **dynamical localization**: The Wigner functions are no longer uniformly spread over the classically available chaotic component but are localized instead.

- **Coupling** due to **tunneling** between the semiclassical regular (R) and chaotic (C) states

This effect typically disappears very quickly with increasing energy, due to the exponential dependence on $1/\hbar_{\text{eff}}$. 
THE IMPORTANT SEMICLASSICAL CONDITION

The semiclassical condition for the random matrix theory to apply in the chaotic eigenstates is that the Heisenberg time \( t_H \) is larger than all classical transport times \( t_T \) of the system!

**The Heisenberg time of any quantum system**

\[
 t_H = \frac{2\pi \hbar}{\Delta E} = 2\pi \hbar \rho(E)
\]

\( \Delta E = 1/\rho(E) \) is the mean energy level spacing, \( \rho(E) \) is the mean level density.

The quantum evolution follows the classical evolution including the chaotic diffusion up to the Heisenberg time, at longer times the destructive interference sets in and causes:

- **the quantum or dynamical localization** if \( t_H \ll t_T \)

  **Note:** \( \rho(E) \propto \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^N} \to \infty \) when \( \hbar \to 0 \), and therefore eventually \( t_H \gg t_T \).

This observation applies to time-dependent and to time-independent systems.

We shall illustrate the results in real billiard spectra.
We show the second moment \( \langle p^2 \rangle \) averaged over an ensemble of \( 10^6 \) initial conditions uniformly distributed in the chaotic component on the interval \( s \in [0, \mathcal{L}/2] \) and \( p = 0 \). We see that the saturation value of \( \langle p^2 \rangle \) is reached at about \( N_T = 10^5 \) collisions for \( \lambda = 0.15 \), \( N_T = 10^3 \) collisions for \( \lambda = 0.20 \) and \( N_T = 10^2 \) for \( \lambda = 0.25 \). For \( \lambda = 0.15 \), according to the criterion at \( k = 2000 \) and \( k = 4000 \), we are still in the regime where the dynamical localization is expected. On the other hand, for \( \lambda = 0.20, 0.25 \) we expect extended states already at \( k < 2000 \).
Dynamically localized chaotic states are semiempirically well described by the Brody level spacing distribution: (Izrailev 1988, 1989, Prosen and Robnik 1993/4)

\[ P_B(S) = C_1 S^\beta \exp(-C_2 S^{\beta+1}) , \quad F_B(S) = 1 - W_B(S) = \exp(-C_2 S^{\beta+1}) , \]

where \( \beta \in [0, 1] \) and the two parameters \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are determined by the two normalizations \( \langle 1 \rangle = \langle S \rangle = 1 \), and are given by

\[ C_1 = (\beta + 1) C_2 , \quad C_2 = \left( \Gamma \left( \frac{\beta + 2}{\beta + 1} \right) \right)^{\beta + 1} \]

with \( \Gamma(x) \) being the Gamma function. If we have extended chaotic states \( \beta = 1 \) and RMT applies, whilst in the strongly localized regime \( \beta = 0 \) and we have Poissonian statistics. The corresponding gap probability is

\[ E_B(S) = \frac{1}{(\beta + 1) \Gamma \left( \frac{\beta + 2}{\beta + 1} \right)} Q \left( \frac{1}{\beta + 1}, \left( \Gamma \left( \frac{\beta + 2}{\beta + 1} \right) S \right)^{\beta + 1} \right) \]

\( Q(\alpha, x) \) is the incomplete Gamma function: \( Q(\alpha, x) = \int_x^\infty t^{\alpha - 1} e^{-t} dt \).
The BRB theory: BR-Brody
(Prosen and Robnik 1993/1994, Batistić and Robnik 2010)

We have divided phase space $\mu_1 + \mu_2 = 1$ and localization $\beta$:

$$E(S) = E_r(\mu_1 S)E_c(\mu_2 S) = \exp(-\mu_1 S)E_{Brody}(\mu_2 S)$$

and the level spacing distribution $P(S)$ is:

$$P(S) = \frac{d^2 E_r}{dS^2}E_c + 2\frac{dE_r}{dS}\frac{dE_c}{dS} + E_r\frac{d^2 E_c}{dS^2}$$
We study the billiard defined by the quadratic complex conformal mapping:
\[ w(z) = z + \lambda z^2 \]
of the unit circle \( |z| = 1 \) (introduced in R. 1983/1984).

We choose \( \lambda = 0.15 \), for which \( \rho_1 = 0.175 \)

We plot the level spacing distribution \( P(S) \)
The level spacing distribution for the billiard $\lambda = 0.15$, compared with the analytical formula for BRB (red full line) with parameter values $\rho_1 = 0.183$, $\beta = 0.465$ and $\sigma = 0$. The dashed red curve close to the full red line is BRB with classical $\rho_1 = 0.175$ is not visible, as it overlaps completely with the quantum case $\rho_1 = 0.183$. The dashed curve far away from the red full line is just the BR curve with the classical $\rho_1 = 0.175$. The Poisson and GOE curves (dotted) are shown for comparison. The agreement of the numerical spectra with BRB is perfect. In the histogram we have 650000 objects, and the statistical significance is extremely large.
Separating the regular and chaotic eigenstates in a mixed-type billiard system
recent work by Batistić and Robnik 2013

The idea:

Introduce the quantum phase space analogous to the classical billiard phase space in Poincaré-Birkhoff coordinates, by using the Husimi functions in the same space.

Look at the overlap of the quantum eigenstates with the classical regular and classically chaotic component(s), and thus separate the regular and chaotic eigenstates and also the corresponding energy eigenvalues.

Then perform the spectral statistical analysis separately for the regular and chaotic level sequences.

We find: Poisson for regular and Brody for chaotic eigenstates.
\[ \Delta \psi + k^2 \psi = 0, \quad \psi |_{\partial B} = 0. \quad (3) \]

\[ u(s) = \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_r \psi (\mathbf{r}(s)), \quad (4) \]

\[ u(s) = -2 \oint dt \ u(t) \ \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_r G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}(t)). \quad (5) \]

\[ G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = -\frac{i}{4} H_0^{(1)} (k|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|), \quad (6) \]

\[ \psi_j(\mathbf{r}) = -\oint dt \ u_j(t) \ G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}(t)) \quad (7) \]

\[ c_{(q,p),k}(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\{ikp(s-q+m\mathcal{L})\} \exp\left(-\frac{k}{2}(s-q+m\mathcal{L})^2\right). \quad (8) \]

\[ H_j(q, p) = \left| \int_{\partial B} c_{(q,p),k_j}(s) \ u_j(s) \ ds \right|^2, \quad M = \sum_{i,j} H_{i,j} \ A_{i,j}. \quad (9) \]
Examples of chaotic (left) and regular (right) states in the Poincaré-Husimi representation. $k_j(M)$ from top down are: chaotic: $k_j(M) = 2000.0021815 (0.978), 2000.0181794 (0.981), 2000.0000068 (0.989), 2000.0258600 (0.965);$ regular: $k_j(M) = 2000.0081402 (-0.987), 2000.0777155 (-0.821), 2000.0786759 (-0.528), 2000.0112417 (-0.829).$ The gray background is the classically chaotic invariant component. We show only one quarter of the surface of section $(s, p) \in [0, L/2] \times [0, 1]$, because due to the reflection symmetry and time-reversal symmetry the four quadrants are equivalent.
The level spacing distribution for the entire spectrum after unfolding for \( N = 587653 \) spacings, with \( k_j \in [2000, 2500] \), in excellent agreement with the BRB distribution with the classical \( \rho_1 = 0.175 \) and \( \beta = 0.45 \).
Separation of levels using the classical criterion $M_t = 0.431$. (a; left) The level spacing distribution for the chaotic subspectrum after unfolding, in perfect agreement with the Brody distribution $\beta = 0.444$. (b; right) The level spacing distribution for the regular part of the spectrum, after unfolding, in excellent agreement with Poisson.
The localization measures of chaotic eigenstates:
recent work by Batistić and Robnik 2013

A: localization measure based on the information entropy of the Husimi quasi-probability distribution:

Calculate normalized Husimi distribution $H(q,p)$ on the phase space $(q,p)$ and then the information entropy for each chaotic eigenstate

$$I = -\int dq dp \, H(q,p) \ln \left( (2\pi\hbar)^N H(q,p) \right)$$

and define: $A = \exp\langle I \rangle / \Omega_C/(2\pi\hbar)^N$ (\= entropy localization measure)

where $\Omega_C =$ phase space volume on which $H(q,p)$ is defined, and the averaging is over a large number of consecutive chaotic eigenstates.

- Uniform distribution $H = 1/\Omega_C$: $A = 1$ (extendedness)

- Strongest localization in a single Planck cell: $H = 1/(2\pi\hbar)^N$

$$I = \ln \left( (2\pi\hbar)^N H \right) = 0 \text{ and } A = (2\pi\hbar)^N / \Omega_C = 1/N_{Ch}(E) \approx 0$$
C: localization measure based on the correlations of the Husimi quasi-probability distribution:

Calculate normalized Husimi distribution $H_m(q, p)$ for each chaotic eigenstate labeled by $m$, and then the correlation matrix for large number of consecutive chaotic eigenstates:

$$C_{nm} = \frac{1}{Q_n Q_m} \int dq dp \ H_n(q, p) \ H_m(q, p)$$

where $Q_n = \sqrt{\int dq dp \ H^2_n(q, p)}$ is the normalizing factor

and define

$$C = \langle C_{nm} \rangle \quad (= \text{correlation localization measure})$$

where the averaging is over a large number of consecutive chaotic eigenstates
Surprisingly and satisfactory: The two localization measures $A$ and $C$ are linearly related and thus equivalent!

Linear relation between the two entirely different localization measures, namely the entropy measure $A$ and the correlation measure $C$, calculated for several different billiards at $k \approx 2000$ and $k \approx 4000$. 
As expected and in analogy to time-periodic systems like quantum kicked rotator: The spectral Brody parameter $\beta$, describing the level repulsion in the level spacing distribution $P(S) \propto S^\beta$ at small $S$ is functionally related to the localization measure $A$:

![Graph showing the relationship between $\beta$ and $A$]

Arrows connect points corresponding to the same $\lambda$ at two different $k$. 
Discussion and conclusions

• The Principle of Uniform Semiclassical Condensation of Wigner functions of eigenstates leads to the idea that in the sufficiently deep semiclassical limit the spectrum of a mixed type system can be described as a statistically independent superposition of regular and chaotic level sequences.

• As a result of that the $E(k, L)$ probabilities factorize and the level spacings and other statistics can be calculated in a closed form.

• At lower energies we see quantum or dynamical localization.

• The level spacing distribution of localized chaotic eigenstates is excellently described by the Brody distribution with $\beta \in [0, 1]$.

• In the mixed type systems regular and chaotic eigenstates can be separated: the regular obey Poisson, the localized chaotic states obey the Brody.

• The Brody level repulsion exponent $\beta$ is a function of the localization measure $A$. 